

Fundamentals of Neurogastroenterology: Basic Science

DAVID GRUNDY,* ELIE D. AL-CHAER,† QASIM AZIZ,§ STEPHEN M. COLLINS,¶ MEIYUN KE, YVETTE TACHÉ,# and JACKIE D. WOOD***Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, England; †Neurobiology and Developmental Sciences, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas; §Gastroenterology Division, Hope Hospital, Salford, England;

Gastroenterology Division, McMaster University Medical Center, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada;

¶Gastroenterology Division, Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China; #Department of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California; and **Department of Physiology and Cell Biology, College of Medicine and Public Health, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio

The focus of neurogastroenterology in Rome II was the enteric nervous system (ENS).

To keep away from duplication with Rome II, only advances in ENS neurobiology after Rome II are reviewed together with stronger emphasis on interactions of the mind, spinal twine, and the gut in terms of relevance for stomach ache and disordered gastrointestinal function.

A committee with experience in selective elements of neurogastroenterology was invited to consider the literature and provide a consensus overview of the Fundamentals of Neurogastroenterology textbook as they relate to practical gastrointestinal issues (FGIDs).

This review is an abbreviated version of a fuller account that appears in the forthcoming guide, Rome III.

This report critiques present fundamental science understanding of visceral sensation and its modulation by inflammation and stress and advances within the neurophysiology of the ENS. Many of the concepts are derived from animal research by which the physiologic mechanisms underlying visceral sensitivity and neural management of motility, secretion, and blood circulation are examined.

Impact of irritation and stress in experimental fashions relative to FGIDs is reviewed as is human brain imaging, which supplies a way for translating primary science to understanding FGID signs. Investigative evidence and emerging ideas implicate dysfunction in the nervous system as a big issue underlying affected persons' symptoms in FGIDs.

Continued focus on neurogastroenterologic components that underlie the event of symptoms will result in mechanistic understanding that is anticipated to instantly benefit the massive contingent of sufferers and care-givers who cope with FGIDs.

Neurogastroenterology is an emerging space of scientific and scientific subspecialization that was launched within the early 1990s. Neurogastroenterology encompasses fundamental and scientific analysis coping with performance and dysfunction of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and its neural innervation.

In Rome II, consideration was centered on the enteric nervous system (ENS) and neuroeffector mechanisms as they relate to functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGIDs).^{1,2} Also related are the central nervous system (CNS) mechanisms that process and interpret the incoming sensory info that offers rise to visceral pain and influence the autonomic sympathetic and parasympathetic outflows that, together with the ENS, management and coordinate digestive features.

- Clinical gastroenterology interprets primary discovery into the prognosis and therapy of FGIDs and contains the influence of irritation and psychological state on brain-gut interactions.
- This report continues the "fundamentals" with a primary give consideration to interactions of the brain, spinal twine, ENS, and gut and the relevance for stomach pain and disordered GI function.
- Visceral Pain and Sensation GI afferents mediate reflexes that control motility, secretion, and blood move and likewise modulate immuneresponses.

3 Moreover, sensory data reaching the CNS gives rise to both painful and nonpainful sensation and influences feeding and illness behavior. Heightened visceral sensitivity is a hallmark of FGIDs. Whether the hypersensitivity reflects transmission of aberrant sensory indicators to the mind, regular alerts which may be interpreted inappropriately by the mind, or a mix of both stays an unresolved query.

Peripheral Sensory Physiology Vagal and spinal afferent nerve fibers transmit sensory information from the GI tract to the CNS. Vagal afferents have cell bodies in nodose ganglia and enter the CNS. Abbreviations used in this paper: AT-II, angiotensin II; CNS, central nervous system; CRF, corticotropin-releasing factor; EGC, enteric glial cell;

ENS, enteric nervous system

EPSP, excitatory postsynaptic potential; FGID, functional gastrointestinal disorder; GI, gastrointestinal; 5-HT, serotonin; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; IL, interleukin; IPSP, inhibitory postsynaptic potential;

IR, immunoreactivity; MMC, migrating motor advanced; PAR, protease-activated receptor; TNBS, trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid. © 2006 by the American Gastroenterological Association
Institute 0016-5085/06/\$32.00 doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2005.eleven.060 GASTROENTEROLOGY 2006; a hundred thirty:1391–1411 brainstem.

1. Cell bodies of spinal afferents are located in dorsal root ganglia and project to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord and the dorsal column nuclei.
2. Spinal afferents are broadly subdivided into splanchnic and pelvic afferents that follow the paths of sympathetic and parasympathetic efferents to the intestine wall.

Somatic afferents, which innervate the striated musculature of the pelvic floor, project to the sacral spinal cord via the pudendal nerve. Peripheral endings of vagal and spinal sensory

neurons terminate throughout the musculature, mucosal epithelium, and ganglia of the ENS.³ Spinal afferents additionally terminate in the serosa and mesenteric attachments and kind a dense network around mesenteric blood vessels and their intramural tributaries.

Vagal afferent endings within the mucosa are in close affiliation with the lamina propria adjacent to the mucosal epithelium, the place they immediately monitor the chemical nature of luminal contents both directly following passage across the epithelium or not directly via paracrine enter from enteroendocrine cells in the epithelium.

Three Luminal vitamins, for instance, cross the epithelium by varied transport mechanisms to reach the afferent nerve terminals within the lamina propria. In addition, luminal nutrients act earlier than absorption to trigger the discharge of messenger molecules (eg, cholecystokinin and serotonin [5-HT]) from enteroendocrine cells in the mucosa.

These molecules in turn act on afferent terminals that lie in close proximity in the lamina propria.^{4,5} Vagal afferent endings within the GI wall are classified as either intramuscular arrays or intraganglionic laminar endings.

Intramuscular arrays are distributed inside the muscle sheets operating parallel to the lengthy axes of the muscle fibers,⁶ the place they appear to make direct contact with the muscle fibers and likewise kind appositions with intramuscular interstitial cells of Cajal.

Intraganglionic laminar endings are basket-like constructions related with myenteric ganglia in the ENS.

The location of intraganglionic laminar endings between the circular and longitudinal muscle layers exposes them to the shearing forces generated during muscle stretch or contraction and determines their perform as low-threshold mechanoreceptors.

⁷ Intraganglionic laminar endings are also present within the pelvic plexus provide to the rectal musculature.⁸ Their location in areas from which graded sensory experiences can arise in response to investigator-applied stimuli (eg, balloon distention) results in a suggestion that these endings might signal nonpainful sensations of fullness.

- Spinal afferents have multiple receptive fields extending over comparatively wide areas of bowel.^{three} Afferent endings within the serosa and mesenteric attachments reply to distortion of the viscera throughout distention and contraction.
- Other endings detect modifications within the submucosal chemical milieu following injury, ischemia, or infection and may play a job in producing hypersensitivity to distention and muscle contraction.

⁵ Intramural spinal afferent fibers have collateral branches that innervate blood vessels and enteric ganglia. These contain and launch neurotransmitters during local axon reflexes that affect GI blood circulation, motility, and secretory reflexes.⁹ Spinal afferents en route to the spinal cord additionally give off collaterals that innervate prevertebral sympathetic ganglia.

10 The same sensory data is thereby transmitted to information-processing circuits in the spinal wire, ENS, and prevertebral ganglia. Calcitonin gene-related peptide and substance P are essential neurotransmitters on this sensory pathway, and each of these peptides are implicated within the induction of neurogenic irritation.

11 Sensory transduction in the end is determined by the modulation of ion channels and/or receptors on the sensory nerve terminal. three Mechanosensitivity could arise not directly following the release of chemical mediators corresponding to adenosine triphosphate (ATP), which in turn can act on purinergic receptors current on afferent nerve terminals.

Alternatively, there may be direct activation via mechanosensitive ion channels in the afferent nerve terminals.

5 Mechanical deformation of the nerve ending leads to the opening or closing of the ion channels, which depolarizes the terminal to threshold for action potential firing and transmission of the sensory data to the CNS.

Vagal mechanoreceptors usually have low distention thresholds of activation, as indicated by responses to increases in distending pressures of some millimeters of mercury and maximal firing frequencies occurring within physiologic levels of distention. three However, some vagal fibers can convey information about high-intensity mechanical stimulation and may also reply to noxious chemical stimulation.

12 Spinal afferents are categorised as low-threshold, high-threshold, or silent mechanoreceptors. thirteen Low-threshold afferents reply to physiologic levels of distention and proceed to encode extreme ranges of distention that evoke pain in people and pain conduct in animals.

- High-threshold afferents respond to higher levels of distention which are in the noxious vary.
- Silent nociceptors do not reply at all within the regular gut but turn into responsive to distention when the intestine is injured or inflamed.
- 12 This type of receptor conduct illustrates how mechanosensitivity is not fixed, both when it comes to the brink for sensory activation or the relationship between stimulus and response.

Injury and inflammation decrease the brink and improve the magnitude of the response for a given stimulus, a phenomenon generally recognized as peripheral sensitization. 14 Inflammation 1392 GRUNDY ET AL GASTROENTEROLOGY Vol. a hundred thirty, No. 5 story sensitization underlies the perception of a usually innocuous stimulus as being painful and exaggerates the intensity of pain experienced during a painful stimulus (ie, hypersensitivity).

Sensitizing mediators are released by a plethora of cell varieties, including blood platelets, leukocytes, lymphocytes, macrophages, mast cells, glia, fibroblasts, blood vessels, muscle, epithelial cells, and neurons.

Several mediators can be launched from a single cell type to act both instantly on the sensory nerve terminal or not directly by stimulating the release of brokers from different cells in a collection of cascades. A battery of chemical mediators, including biogenic amines, purines, prostanooids, proteases, and cytokines, act in a promiscuous manner on a variety of receptors expressed on any one sensory ending.

Three distinct processes are concerned in the actions of those substances on visceral afferent nerves.

First, by direct activation of receptors coupled to the opening of ion channels current on nerve terminals, the terminals are depolarized and firing of impulses is initiated. The second is by sensitization that develops within the absence of direct stimulation and leads to hyperexcitability to each chemical and mechanical modalities.

Sensitization may involve postreceptor signal transduction that features G protein–coupled alterations in second messenger techniques that in flip lead to phosphorylation of membrane receptors and ion channels that management excitability of the afferent endings.

The third is by genetic changes in the phenotype of mediators, channels, and receptors expressed by the afferent nerve; for example, a change within the ligand-binding traits or coupling efficiency of newly expressed receptors may alter the sensitivity of the afferent terminals.

- Neurotrophins, specifically nerve growth factor and glial-derived neurotrophic factor, affect completely different populations of visceral afferents and play an necessary function in adaptive responses to nerve damage and irritation.
- 15 Peripheral sensitization can occur rapidly and be short-lived as a end result of the changes happening on the stage of the sensory nerve terminal are dependent on launch of a quantity of algescic mediators.

However, in the occasion of sustained tissue harm or inflammatory states, adjustments in gene expression can occur that delay peripheral sensitization.

These modifications include alterations in these genes that decide the quantity and sample of neurotransmitters released from the sensory nerve terminals in the spinal twine and the mind, thereby altering the CNS processing of sensory information.⁵ Peripheral sensitization built-in with central sensitization of this nature is undoubtedly a significant issue determining the sensations of belly pain and discomfort related to FGIDs.

Spinal Cord Visceral afferents represent solely 10% of all afferent influx into the spinal wire, but they've widespread termination in laminae I, II, V, and X of the dorsal horn.¹⁶

Input from visceral and somatic sensory fields converges onto the same neurons in the dorsal horn, dorsal column nuclei, and supraspinal facilities.^{17–20} Viscerovisceral convergence of sensory data onto the same neurons additionally happens within the spinal wire.

For example, pelvic visceral inputs from colon and rectum, bladder, uterine cervix, and vagina all converge onto the identical second-order spinal neurons.^{16,17} The low density of visceral nociceptors, the phenomenon of viscerovisceral convergence, and the functional divergence of visceral efferents within the CNS in all probability all contribute to the poor localization of visceral ache to a particular bodily area.

Visceral nociceptive data is transmitted centrally through spinothalamic, spinohypothalamic, spinosolitary, spinoreticular, and spinoparabrachial tracts, all in the anterolateral quadrant of the spinal cord. In addition, a recently discovered pathway in the dorsal columns, which entails mainly postsynaptic neurons, can also be concerned in viscerosensory processing and visceral ache transmission.

18–25 Pain indicators within the dorsal columns are then transmitted by way of the ipsilateral dorsal column nuclei (ie, nucleus gracilis and nucleus cuneatus) to the contralateral ventroposterolateral nucleus of the thalamus.

Stimulation of the posterior columns in a patient with severe irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) evokes an instantaneous improve within the intensity of belly pain.²⁷ The evidence suggests that dorsal column pathways have a major position in visceral nociceptive transmission.

Central Sensitization

Central sensitization is believed to be the mechanism underlying secondary hyperalgesia, which is a phenomenon of increased ache sensitivity in areas distant to the location of injury or inflammation.

Secondary hyperalgesia results from altered mechanisms of synaptic transmission within the spinal wire, which leads to a decrease in threshold, increased responsiveness, and an enlargement of spinal neuronal receptive fields.

28 Central sensitization would possibly contribute to the visceral hypersensitivity to distention present in sufferers with IBS. The changes in synaptic transmission persist past the period of initial injury or irritation and can be related to altered bowel operate.^{29,30} Glutamate and substance P are the major neurotransmitters launched in the course of the spinal processing of visceral pain.

Both N-methyl-D-aspartate and April 2006 FUNDAMENTALS OF NEUROGASTROENTEROLOGY 1393 non-N-methyl-D-aspartate glutamate receptors and neurokinin receptors are implicated in the synaptic mechanisms underlying central sensitization.